Presolar Stardust in the Solar System

Lecture I



Larry R. Nittler

Department of Terrestrial Magnetism Carnegie Institution of Washington

Les Houches Winter School, February 2017

Outline

 Introduction to primitive extraterrestrial materials and presolar grains

• Tools of presolar grain research

 Overview of stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis

Protoplanetary Disk

Low-mass Star

Molecular Cloud (chemistry, dust formation)

Red Giant

Gas, Dust

Gas, Dust

Gas, Dust

Dust destruction Cosmic Br Wind

Massive star

Supernova

Diffuse ISM

Gas, Dust

Planetary Nebula



Low-mass Star

Molecular Cloud (chemistry, dust formation)

Red Giant

Gas, Dust

Gas, Dust

Gas, Dust

Dust destruction Wind

Massive star

Supernova

Gas, Dust

Planetary Nebula

Diffuse ISM

Protoplanetary Disk

Planet Formation

Planetesimals (comets and asteroids)





Planet Formation

Protoplanetary Disk

Fossil Remnants of early SS

Planetesimals (comets and asteroids)







Protoplanetary Disk

Planet Formation

Planetesimals (comets and asteroids)

Meteorites (cm - m)

Micrometeorites (0.1 cm)

(0.01 cm)

Laboratory Study IDPs/ Wild-2 samples

Meteorites

Fireball over Yellow Springs, Ohio Credit: John Chumack



Willamette iron meteorite



Meteorite on Antarctic ice (L. Nittler)



Orbit trajectories indicate origin in asteroid belt

Chondrites

Meteorites from undifferentiated planetesimals – primitive 'cosmic sediments' of protoplanetary disk



Full of "Chondrules" <mm-sized silicate spheres

Chondrules

Calcium, Aluminumrich Inclusions, CAIs (First Solids in Solar System; 4.567 Gyr)

In between is "matrix" (sub-micron dust)

Interplanetary Dust Particles (IDPs) and Antarctic Micrometeorites





- Collected in stratosphere by modified U2 spy planes and in Antarctica by melting/filtering snow
- Originate in comets and asteroids





 NASA mission, flew through tail of comet Wild-2, collected dust particles and returned them to Earth (Jan 2006) Dust collected at 6.1 km/s in "aerogel" and as impact residues in Al foils

Primitive ET samples

- Non-biological "fossils," containing a record of:
 - Starting materials of the Solar System
 What the Solar System was like at beginning
 - Earliest stages of planetary processes
 Timescales for early processes
- Focus here on one rare component **PRESOLAR STARDUST**

Presolar Stardust in the Solar System

- **Bona-fide** stardust from ancient dead stars
- Survived interstellar processes and solar system formation
- Found today surviving in meteorites and interplanetary dust particles - <~100 ppm









Presolar Stardust

- Each presolar grain is a solid piece of a *single* star at a *given* time in its evolution
 - Isotopic/elemental composition is fossil record of *nucleosynthesis* (process by which elements are made)
- Each presolar grain survived processing in interstellar medium, early solar system and meteorite/comet parent body
 - Abundances, chemical/structural data can constrain such processes
- Laboratory measurements of grains provide detailed information about stellar/interstellar/ early solar system processes
 - Such analyses possible by modern techniques despite small sizes of grains (<1 μm)

Presolar Grain Types





Silicon Carbide







Graphite

3058







nanodiamond



 $\overline{\text{Oxides}(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4, \text{TiO}_2 \dots)}$



NP 3.0 kV X90.0K 333nm

Silicates (Glass, MgSiO₄ ...)





Stardust tools ("telescopes")

Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS)

 Major/minor element isotope ratios (>100nm)



Carnegie Inst. NanoSIMS

Electron Microscopy –Morphology/ mineralogy/ microstructure (>1nm)

Resonance Ionization Mass Spectrometry (RIMS)

 Trace-element isotopes (>1µm)



"CHILI" U Chicago

NION Ultra-STEM

Scanning Transmission Electron Microscope Naval Research Lab

Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS)

- Use ion sputtering to determine major/minor element isotope ratios
- Beam can be focused to small spot for spatiallyresolved measurements
- Highly sensitive



Cameca ims-3f/6f ion probe



Drawing by Trevor Ireland



Used for majority
 of presolar grain
 data 1987-2003

- 1970's design
- 1µm spatial resolution, high sensitivity

Cameca NanoSIMS 50/50L

- Since ~2000
- <100nm spatial resolution, very high sensitivity
- Simultaneous collection of multiple masses
- Allows measurements of Sample
 more elements in *smaller* samples; huge advantages Multicollection
 for presolar grains





SIMS limitations

- Destructive technique
- Even with high sensitivity, limited by # atoms in small samples; Poisson uncertainty on N counts= sqrt(N)
- Example:
 - A 1-micron SiC grain contains $\sim 5 \times 10^{10}$ atoms
 - Typical presolar SiC has ~0.1% AI
 - AI efficiency by SIMS is $<\sim 10^{-2}$
 - Typical inferred ²⁶Al/²⁷Al for presolar SiC is 10⁻³
 - Gives 500 measureable radiogenic ²⁶Mg atoms in whole grain (4% uncertainty)
- Some isobaric interferences unresolvable
 - Can correct some (e.g. ⁵⁰Cr on ⁵⁰Ti), but not others (e.g. Zr/Mo)

Resonance Ionization Mass Spectrometry (RIMS)





- Extreme sensitivity to select elements (can fully exclude isobaric in interferences)
- CHILI unprecedented flexibility and sensitivity (Ga⁺ ion beam + 6 lasers)

Scanning Electron Microscopy

- Focus beam of (3-20 keV) electrons on sample
 – few nm resolution imaging
- Detect:
 - secondary e- (topography)
 - backscatter e-(composition/ topography)
 - X-rays (compositional info)





CR3 meteorite QUE 99177 (Nguyen et al 2008)



• 📬

1250

300

-50

-400

correlate with NanoSIMS images

375 δ¹⁷Ο 1µm -500 CR3 meteorite QUE 99177 (Nguyen et al 2008)

Auger Spectroscopy

- Auger electrons also produced upon electronirradiation of samples
 - Allows chemical analysis with better spatial resolution than SEM Xray analysis, but less sensitive





Stadermann et al (2008)

Transmission Electron Microscopy

- Focus beam of 60-300 keV electrons through thin (<100 nm) sample
- High-magnification (atomicresolution) imaging/ X-ray chemical mapping
- Electron diffraction (structural information)
- Electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS, provides compositional and chemical bonding information)



TEM of presolar SiC grain



STEM EDS Mapping











Presolar Si₃N₄ grain

SEI 10.0kV X80,000 100nm WD





- SEM with ion gun
 - Technology developed for semiconductor industry
- Use <50 nm Ga⁺ beam to cut slices of samples
- Lift-out using *in situ* micromanipulator



Other techniques

- Noble gas mass spectroscopy
 - Laser-heating of grains, purification and MS of gases



• Atom-probe tomography

atom-by-atom 3d
 reconstructions



- Raman spectroscopy
 - Inelastic scatter of laser light by lattice vibrations



Finding presolar grains

Acid dissolution

"burning down the haystack"



Automatic particle analysis

- Fully automated NanoSIMS isotopic measurements of particles
- Can scan 100s particles per day
- Used successfully for presolar oxides and SiC (Nittler et al. 2003, 2008; Gyngard et al., 2010; Hoppe et al. 2010)

δ¹⁷O (‰)











Step 4: Move Stage and Repeat on New Area



Step 1: Acquire image

Finding presolar grains

Acid dissolution In situ mapping

"burning down the haystack"



SIMS



MIL 07687 CR chondrite- J. Davidson

Finding presolar grains

"burning down the haystack"



SIMS

Acid dissolution
 In situ mapping





MIL 07687 CR chondrite- J. Davidson

Sources of Presolar Stardust Grains



Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars: >90% of SiC, Silicates, Oxides

PC2

Type II Supernovae <10% of SiC, Silicates, Oxides, <50% Graphite, 100% Si₃N₄

Nova Cygni 1992 (HST)



Classical Novae (?) <1% SiC, Silicates, Oxides, Graphite

- Stars are powered by exothermic nuclear fusion reactions. Gravitational collapse occurs until hot enough for nuclear fuel to burn
 - -e.g., 4 ¹H -> ⁴He + 27 MeV
- Energy release from reactions stabilizes star against collapse until fuel exhausted
- Further collapse until heavier fuel ignites
- Repeats until "degeneracy pressure" supports core or no more exothermic reactions possible.

- Stars lie in restricted ranges on Hertzprung-Russell Diagram
 - Diagram reflects mass and evolutionary history of stars
 - Most stars on main sequence (powered by H-burning)
 - $\sim 10^{10} \, \text{M}^{-3} \, \text{yr}$





Schematic Structure of an AGB star





Schematic structure of a pre-supernova massive star



Explosive burning: ²⁸Si, ⁴⁴Ti

C burning: ¹⁶O, ²⁴Mg
 He burning: ¹²C, ¹⁵N, ¹⁸O
 H burning: ¹³C, ¹⁴N, ¹⁷O, ²⁶AI

Type II Supernovae



SN 1987A before and after

Enormous explosions of stars (~10⁴⁶ J)

Type II Supernovae



SN 1987A in 1994

- Enormous explosions of stars
- Nuclear factories (main sources of many elements)

Stellar Evolution – close binaries

 More massive star evolves to WD; accretes matter from companion

Type la SN

- WD explodes, leaving neutron star
 ~10⁴⁴ J
- Produce mostly Fe



Classical Nova

- Accreted H explodes
 ~~10³⁷ J
- Recurrent explosions



• Solar abundance pattern:

- Regularities reflect nuclear properties
- Several different processes
- Mixture of material from many, many stars





BBN (~5 min.)

$H^{4}He^{2H^{4}He}$ $^{7}Li^{3}He^{4}He^$

Stars/Galaxies (>~400 Myr)







 Above Fe, cannot produce energy by fusion



Neutron capture (s-, rprocesses) "p-process"

Neutron capture

s-process

- n-captures "slow" relative to β-decay
- Mostly from AGB stars, some from SNe

r-process

- Many n-captures between β-decays
- Astrophysical site(s) unknown but colliding neutron stars is current favorite

p-process

 Proton-rich nuclei of heavy elements originally thought to be made by proton capture, now believed to be both γ-process (photodisintegration of heavy elements) and v-process (neutrino inetractions)

r/s deconvolution

- Many isotopes are made by both *r* and *s* processes
- Solar abundances deconvolved into *r* and *s* patterns based on theoretical understanding of *s*-process (starting in 1950s)
- For decades, s- and rprocess patterns were purely mathematical constructs



Figure 2 The s-process and r-process abundances in solar system matter (based upon the work by Käppeler *et al.*, 1989). Note the distinctive s-process signature at

r/s deconvolution

- Many isotopes are made by both *r* and *s* processes
- Solar abundances deconvolved into *r* and *s* patterns based on theoretical understanding of *s*-process (starting in 1950s)
- For decades, s- and *r*process patterns were purely mathematical constructs



Pure s-process Xe in presolar SiC!

Origins of Presolar Grains

- Iterative approach:
 - Compare compositions with astronomical data, theory to try to identify stellar source type (e.g. AGB vs supernova)
 - Once source is identified, take advantage of unique information obtained from lab measurements to test models, etc.
- Example: Silicon Carbide (SiC)

AGB star origin of most presolar SiC



Carbon isotopes match AGB stars, ¹³C rich and ¹⁵N-poor from mixing of H-burnt ashes into envelope



Infrared emission feature from SiC in AGB star (Speck et al., 2005)



Extinct Radioactivities

- Recognized by excesses in daughter products
 Crucial for Early SS chronology (Gounelle lecture)
- E.g., ²⁶AI:
 - half-life = 720,000 years
 - Produced in variety of stars (including AGB)
 - Observed in Galaxy by γ -ray emission
 - Observed as nuclear "fossil" in meteorites and presolar grains (²⁶Mg excess)

²⁶Al in presolar SiC

AGB presolar SiC

- Heavy elements in SiC stardust reflect s-process
 - Confirm AGB source
 - Confirm existence of s-process
 - Constrain models
 - Suggest errors in nuclear data

Data from Argonne/Chicago group, Models from Torino group

AGB origin of most presolar SiC

- Heavy elements in SiC stardust reflect s-process
 - Confirm AGB
 source
 - *Confirm* existence of *s*-process
 - Constrain models
 - Suggest errors in nuclear data

Pristine nature of presolar grains makes them useful probes of:

- Cosmology
- Stellar nucleosynthesis
- Stellar evolution and mixing
- Galactic chemical evolution
- Dust formation in stellar environments
- Dust processing in the interstellar medium
- Sources of material for Solar System
- Early Solar System processes