

How to SPAM the 150 MHz sky



Huib Intema | Leiden Observatory

26/04/2016

Sterrewacht Leiden

Main collaborators:
Preshanth Jagannathan (UCT/NRAO)
Kunal Mooley (Oxford)
Dale Frail (NRAO)

SPAM

Universiteit Leiden
The Netherlands

Talk outline

- The need for a low-frequency radio reference survey
- The TGSS survey
- The SPAM pipeline
- Application to TGSS
- TGSS ADR as a reference survey
- Current status and future plans

LOFAR: the Low Frequency ARray

SKA-LOW: Square Kilometer Array at LOW frequencies

Scope

- The Universe is very transparent for long radio waves
- Rich tradition on surveying the sky at low radio frequencies
  - Cambridge catalogs (UK), NRAO surveys (USA), Westerbork (NL), Molonglo (AUS)
- The intrinsic large field-of-view provides a high survey speed
  - But the resolution is typically poor
- Renewed astronomical interest to survey the radio sky at sub-GHz frequencies
  - Higher resolution, better sensitivity, new technologies (LOFAR, SKA-low)
- Some main science drivers are
  - High-redshifted neutral hydrogen (Epoch-of-Reionization)
  - Pulsars and transients (GRBs, FRBs, GRWs, ...)
  - Exo-planets
  - Galaxy cluster formation and evolution
  - Cosmic magnetism



Bonafede+ 2014

Scope

- Direction-dependent effects are a major problem for wide-field, low-frequency radio surveys
  - Ionospheric dispersive delay and Faraday rotation
  - Complex antenna/station beam patterns
- Main driver behind recent development of direction-dependent (DD) calibration schemes
  - field-based calibration, SPAM, MeqTrees, Sagecal, LOFAR facet calibration, KillMS, ...
- Having a good reference sky model at similar frequency and resolution is crucial
  - Local astrometry is not conserved due to DD ionospheric phase gradients
  - Complex antenna beam patterns introduce uncertainty in measured flux densities
  - Large uncertainty in low-frequency flux density scale in general



Intema+ 2009

Scope

Major distortion is ionospheric dispersive delay (similar to optical seeing)

$$\phi = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 m}\lambda\int_0^d n_e(s)\,ds$$



- A radio interferometer measures phase differences, therefore senses the differential structure in the electron column density (TEC)
  - TEC gradients cause apparent source shifts
  - Higher TEC structures cause source distortions
  - TEC structure varies with time and direction
  - Very sensitive: 1 radian per 0.01 TECU at 75 MHz
- Time series of 1-minute snapshot images of 9 sources distributed over a single 10-degree field-of-view of the VLA at 74 MHz (movie created by W.D. Cotton, NRAO)



Scope

Selection of available/upcoming reference sky surveys

Survey	Frequency	Sensitivity	Resolution	Area
MSSS-LBA	30–78 MHz	$\lesssim$50 mJy beam^{-1}	$\lesssim$150″	20 000 □° ($\delta > 0°$)
8C	38 MHz	200–300 mJy beam^{-1}	4.5′ × 4.5′ csc(δ)	3000 □° ($\delta > +60°$)
VLSS	74 MHz	100 mJy beam^{-1}	80″	30 000 □° ($\delta > -30°$)
MSSS-HBA	120–170 MHz	$\lesssim$10–15 mJy beam^{-1}	$\lesssim$120″	20 000 □° ($\delta > 0°$)
7C	151 MHz	20 mJy beam^{-1}	70″ × 70″ csc(δ)	5500 □° (irregular coverage)
TGSS	140–156 MHz	7–9 mJy beam^{-1}	20″	32 000 □° ($\delta > -30°$)
WENSS	330 MHz	3.6 mJy beam^{-1}	54″ × 54″ csc(δ)	10 000 □° ($\delta > +30°$)
NVSS	1400 MHz	0.45 mJy beam^{-1}	45″	35 000 □° ($\delta > -40°$)





Heald+ 2015

Overview of the TGSS

Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT)

- 30-dish low-frequency radio interferometer
  located near Pune, India (+19 latitude)
- Run by National Center for Radio Astrophysics (NCRA),
  part of Tata Institute for Fundamental Research (TIFR)
- 14 antennas within central square km
  16 antennas in approximate Y-pattern
- Baselines from 50m to 25km
- 45m diameter dishes, wired mesh surface
- Prime focus feeds (rotating turret)
  32 MHz at 1400, 610, and 325 MHz
  16 MHz at 235 and 150 MHz
- Wide-band upgrades underway



Overview of the TGSS

TIFR GMRT Sky Survey

- Continuum survey at 150 MHz with the GMRT
- PI-driven project
- 16 MHz bandwidth, 20” resolution, ~3 degree FoV
- 5,336 pointings covering DEC +90 to -55 degrees
  37,000 square degrees = 90 percent of the radio sky
- Observing grid following FIRST scheme
- 15 minutes/pointing, median 5-7 mJy/beam RMS
- 2,000 hours granted and observed between 2010-2012

- http://tgss.ncra.tifr.res.in/

Overview of the TGSS

TGSS data processing and releases

- Data processing based on old AIPS++ pipeline, selfcal only
- Dedicated 100-node compute cluster at NCRA
  - Recently upgraded to 1600 cores, 80 TB RAM, 1 PB diskspace
- 5 data releases to date, last one (DR5) in late 2012
- DR5 contains about 10 percent of the survey area
- New releases promised, but current state (still) unclear
- Pilot and main survey remain unpublished
- Website not updated since 2 years
- All raw data has become publicly available through GMRT archive (https://naps.ncra.tifr.res.in/goa/)



SPAM pipeline

Pipeline development triggered by GEMS survey

- GMRT Exploration of the transient Meterwavelength Sky
- Slow transient survey in STRIPE82 region (PI Mooley, Oxford)
- 150 MHz, 300 deg^2, 2 epochs
- 4-7 mJy/beam rms noise, 20” spatial resolution
- Uses TGSS data as extra epoch



SPAM pipeline

Source Peeling & Atmospheric Modeling

- Python/AIPS-based software for in-beam ionospheric calibration
  Relies heavily on ParselTongue
- Performs wide-field (direction-dependent) ionospheric calibration, modeling, and imaging
- Strategy and algorithms developed and improved for VLA and GMRT (since 2007)
- Developed into fully automated pipeline for GEMS project (late 2014)
- Custom-build scheduler for parallel batch job processing on Linux compute cluster (thanks NRAO AOC computing staff)

- Pipeline processing worked extremely well for both GEMS and TGSS data
- “Small” steps from STRIPE82 to DR5 (demonstrator) to whole TGSS survey

SPAM pipeline

SPAM core functionality

- A measurement of the local ionospheric TEC structure is obtained by phase calibrating on bright sources within the field-of-view (e.g., peeling)
- The measured phases of all source-antenna pairs can be mapped onto ionospheric layer
- All phases per time interval are fitted with a single model (based on thesis work by Van der Tol, 2009)
- Model predicts phases corrections in arbitrary directions for imaging full field-of-view
- Example time series of a dual-layer phase screen model for narrow-band VLA 74 MHz observation
  - Phase screens fitted each 10 sec to peeling phases of ~10 sources



Intema+ 2009



SPAM pipeline

Functional overview



SPAM pipeline

Data staging and flow

- Mapped on NMPOST compute cluster at NRAO





Application to TGSS

SPAM pipeline performance

- Total time-averaged raw data volume 1.8TB
- Data conversion & pre-calibration: 30 minutes/observation
  - 200 observations = 100 CPU hours
- SPAM pipeline: 3 hours/pointing
  - 5,500 pointings = 16,500 CPU hours = 1.9 CPU years
  - Comparison LUSTRE vs SSD vs HD vs RAM drive: RAM drive by far best performance
    Requires minimization of temporary data storage
- Parallel SPAM processing: 12 jobs/node, 4 nodes = 2 weeks(!)
- With 2 passes, 98 percent of the TGSS survey area is processed successfully
- Remaining 2 percent are problematic data and sky areas, and require manual work
- Several post-imaging corrections introduced to ensure internal flux consistency

Application to TGSS

Sensitivity distribution

- Majority of pointing images have noise levels between 2-5 mJy/beam
- Higher noise mostly in Galactic plane and near bright sources (Cas A, Cyg A)





Intema+ 2016

Application to TGSS

- 0.62 Million radio sources detected at 7-sigma level
- Source density correlates with background noise
- Majority of sources are unresolved at 25”
- Positional accuracy < 2”
- Flux density accuracy < 10 percent







Intema+ 2016, submitted to A&A, arXiv:1603.04368

Application to TGSS

- First full data release of the GMRT 150 MHz sky survey last month (TGSS Alternative Data Release)
- Essential low-frequency reference survey at 25” resolution and 2-5 mJy/beam noise
- Covers 90 percent of radio sky, nearly complete above -53° DEC
  (significant overlap with LOFAR, MWA and SKA)
- Fully automated processing pipeline including (SPAM) DD ionospheric calibration
- Pilot project for LOFAR surveys products on ASTRON VO

http://tgssadr.strw.leidenuniv.nl

Interactive access through CDS Aladin



Application to TGSS

Typical example of SPAM and original TGSS DR5

- Reduction of overall background noise (2-5 mJy/beam versus 5-9 mJy/beam)
- Reduction of artifacts around bright sources
- Increase of peak fluxes
- Improvement of image fidelity (fewer false detections)



Intema+ 2016

SPAM processing



original processing



Application to TGSS

The galactic plane



Application to TGSS

Sample of merging galaxy clusters



Bonafede+ 2014

TGSS as a reference survey

Comparison against MWA (no long baselines)



Hurley-Walker & the GLEAM team
Wayth et al. 2015, arXiv:1505.06041

TGSS as a reference survey

Resolution versus surface brightness sensitivity



TGSS as a reference survey

Comparison against LOFAR MSSS-HBA (ignoring long baselines)

- Calibration of longer-baseline LOFAR data requires a higher resolution sky model
- LOFAR MSSS is designed for that goal, but very far from providing such a model



Heald & the MSSS team
Heald+ 2015, submitted

TGSS as a reference survey

TGSS versus MWA-GLEAM and LOFAR MSSS flux density comparison





TGSS as a reference survey

Quantifying ionospheric effects

- Mild ionospheric distortions causes angular broadening: Strehl ratio $R = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_\phi^2}{2}\right)$
- For unresolved radio sources: the ratio of peak flux over total flux
- Preliminary comparison between LOFAR (tier-1) survey fields and TGSS survey data

mild ionospheric conditions





active ionospheric conditions





Current status and future plans

- TGSS ADR covers 90 percent of the radio sky at 25” resolution and a 3.5 mJy/beam median noise
- The full public data release includes
  - 5 x 5 deg^2 FITS images
  - Image cut-out service (up to 1 x 1 deg^2)
  - Source catalog with 0.62 Million entries
- Resolution is better by factor of a few with respect to surveys at similar frequency
- Sensitivity is similar or better with respect to surveys at similar frequency
- Relatively high astrometric and flux density accuracy
- Estimated reliability is extremely high down to the 7-sigma detection threshold (>99 percent)



Current status and future plans

- TGSS images have been increasingly used as input models for LOFAR calibration
  - Source catalog is being integrated with LOFAR Global Sky Model (GSM)
- TGSS source catalog is used for cross-match by MWA GLEAM survey (to be released soon)
- TGSS data products are in itself interesting, mainly because of the large area covered
  - Cross-matched to NVSS to identify steep-spectrum radio sources
  - Looking for radio counterparts of gamma ray sources detected by *Fermi*
  - Studying the spectral behavior of pulsars (compact steep-spectrum)
  - Finding proto-clusters by selecting high-z radio galaxy candidates based on their steep spectra
  - Targeted search for radio emission from exo-planets
  - Finding dying/dead radio galaxies
  - Finding merging galaxy clusters through their Mpc-scale diffuse radio emission
- http://tgssadr.strw.leidenuniv.nl/