# The SPHINX simulations of the first billion years and reionisation

Joki Rosdahl

CENTRE DE RECHERCHE ASTROPHYSIQUE DE LYON

CRAL

#### with

Blaizot, Chardin, Garel, Haehnelt, Katz, Keating, Kimm, Michel-Dansac, Ocvirk, Sijacki, Teyssier OCA Dec 5th 2017

Cosmological radiation-hydrodynamical simulations of reionisation to z=6, resolving the ISM of atomically cooling haloes

#### The first billion years





#### Ist stars and reionization

A neutral and metal-poor Universe becomes ionized and metal-rich
We know it happened, but not so much how and when, even why Credit: Abraham Loeb, Univ. Colorado

Cosmic microwave background, as observed by the WMAP satellite
Surface of last scattering: Atoms combined and Universe became transparent
Tiny fluctuations in matter density
Wealth of information about Universe: I/6 baryons (atoms), 5/6 dark matter

#### **Observing the High-redshift Universe**



#### **Current observations of the EoR**



#### What are the sources of reionsiation?

Answer: most likely massive young stars emitting ionising radiation that **leaks** out of the inter-stellar medium (ISM) of galaxies

Analytic models require an ionising radiation escape fraction of

 $f_{\rm esc} \gtrsim 20\%$ 

Observationally it is impossible to measure  $f_{esc}$ , but indirect measurements in the local Universe give

 $f_{\rm esc} \lesssim 1 - 3\%$ 

From Robertson et al. (2015)



# Understanding the epoch of reionisation

To understand the complex interplay of galaxy formation, emission, propagation, and absorption of radiation which leads to reionisation, we need cosmological simulations, performed with radiationhydrodynamics.

fesc
 sources of reionisation
 clustering of sources and patchiness of reionisation
 IGM temperature evolution
 interpretation of observations

6

# **Cosmological simulations**

A few simulation codes are available on the 'market'

#### Included components:

- Model of the cosmological expansion of a homogeneous Universe
- 3d evolution of:
  - **Dark matter**: gravity
  - Baryonic gas:

(self-)gravity, hydrodynamics, radiative cooling, star formation

- Stars: gravity, SNe feedback
- Ionsing radiation



DM

### Two classes of reionisation simulations

Large volume with unresolved galaxies	(Gap) So far impossible	Tiny volume with one or a few well resolved galaxies
Representative volume on nearly	KI M	Production of ionising radiation and
homogeneous scale and statistical		fesc resolved.
samples of (massive) halos.		NURSE MALE MANY
	37120	But the large scale reionisation
But galaxies are unresolved.	-	process is not captured (nor the
f <sub>esc</sub> is a free parameter.	4月11月17日	actual contribution from individual
1 ZILLAN MANTER	1 BOKS	sources).
Low-mass halos are not captured.	C. MAR	
Good for the large-scale process		Man Krank
clustering, patchiness.		White States
craoscer m.o, pacemicosc	10 2 8 27	
Not-so-good for understanding the		
(unresolved) sources of ionisation.		

8

## Large volume simulations of reionisation



# Large volume simulations of reionisation

#### COsmic DAwn (CODA) Ocvirk et al, 2015

- f<sub>esc</sub> calibrated to reproduce observed reionisation history
- Prediction of how the local volume was reionised
- Shapes of ionisation regions
- Suppression of star formation in low-mass haloes due to reionisation
- Ionisation state of cosmological filaments



# Zoom technique to resolve one galaxy and its environment in a cosmological volume

From Kimm et al., 2017: 2 cMpc box, 0.7 pc resolution (in a small part of the volume)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

From Kimm et al., 2017: 2 cMpc box, 0.7 pc resolution (in a small part of the volume)

Kimm et al. studied  $f_{esc}$  from minihalos.

We found high escape fractions,

but these galaxies basically shut themselves down with radiation,

so they probably don't contribute much to reionisation.

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $10^{8}$ 

z = 5.66

 $10^{7}$ 

 $\Sigma_{*} \; [{
m M}_{\odot}/{
m kpc^2}]$ 

In **Trebitsch et al. (2017)** we studied  $f_{esc}$  from more massive halos.

Physical resolution of 7 pc in three targeted halos and their environments

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

In **Trebitsch et al. (2017)** we studied  $f_{esc}$  from more massive halos.

Physical resolution of 7 pc in three targeted halos and their environments

Main result:

fesc is far from constant and heavily regulated by supernova (SN) feedback

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

How to perform radiation-hydrodynamical simulations of reionisation

## **RAMSES - my cosmological code of choice**

#### Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR) for self-gravitating fluid flows

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

- AMR allows the calculation to be focused on regions of interest.
- The simulation volume can be split and run in parallel on thousands of CPUs
- Dark matter, gas, and stars are all included
- I spent my PhD on adding the propagation of *radiation* and its interactions with gas, see Rosdahl et al. (2013), Rosdahl & Teyssier (2015)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

To solve this numerically, we need to overcome two main problems:

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

. There are seven dimensions! Hydrodynamics has only four!

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

I. There are seven dimensions! Hydrodynamics has only four!

II. The timescale is  $\propto u^{-1}$ , where u is speed, and  $u_{\text{light}} \sim 1000 \ u_{\text{gas}}$ , so  $\sim$  thousand radiation steps per hydro step!!

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

The radiative transfer equation:

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial I_{\nu}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla I_{\nu} = -\kappa_{\nu}I_{\nu} + \eta_{\nu}$$

 $I_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) \text{ intensity} \\ \kappa_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) \text{ absorption} \\ \eta_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) \text{ source function}$ 

#### Two common strategies for radiative transfer:

I. Ray tracing methods: Cast a finite number of rays from a finite number of sources

- Simple and intuitive
- ...but efficiently covering the volume can be tricky
- ...and load scales with number of sources/rays

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

II. Moment methods: Convert the RT equation into a system of conservation laws that describe a field of radiation

- Not so intuitive, and not rays
- ...but fits easily with a hydrodynamical solver for RHD
- ...naturally takes advantage of AMR and parallellization
- ...no problem with covering the volume
- ... no limit to number of radiation sources

#### Moments of the radiative transfer equation to 'reduce' the angular dimension

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial I_{\nu}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla I_{\nu} = -\kappa_{\nu}I_{\nu} + \eta_{\nu}$$

$$I_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) \text{ intensity}$$

$$\kappa_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) \text{ absorbtion}$$

$$\eta_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) \text{ source function}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\oint I_{\nu}\,d\Omega + \nabla\oint\mathbf{n}\,I_{\nu}\,d\Omega = -\kappa_{\nu}\oint I_{\nu}\,d\Omega + \eta_{\nu}\oint d\Omega$$
First moment: 
$$\oint\mathbf{n}f(\mathbf{n})\,d\Omega$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\oint\mathbf{n}\,I_{\nu}\,d\Omega + \nabla\oint\mathbf{n}\,\mathbf{n}\,I_{\nu}\,d\Omega = -\kappa_{\nu}\oint\mathbf{n}\,I_{\nu}\,d\Omega + \eta_{\nu}\oint d\Omega$$

These equations contain the first three moments of the intensity:

$$E_{\nu} = \frac{1}{c} \oint I_{\nu} d\Omega$$
$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu} = \oint \mathbf{n} \ I_{\nu} d\Omega$$
$$\mathbf{p}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{c} \oint \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} \ I_{\nu} d\Omega$$

(energy per volume and frequency) (energy flux per area and time and frequency) (force per area and frequency)

$$\frac{\partial E_{\nu}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\nu} = -\kappa_{\nu} c E_{\nu} + S_{\nu}$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{\nu}}{\partial t} + c^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\nu} = -\kappa_{\nu} c \mathbf{f}_{\nu}$$

#### The challenges of adding radiation-hydrodynamics part II: the impossibly large speed-of-light

Problem: the high speed of light requires a huge number of radiative transfer (RT) steps

• 
$$\Delta t_{\rm RT} \sim \frac{\Delta x}{c} \sim \frac{\Delta t_{\rm HD}}{1000}$$

Solution: reduce the speed of light (see Gnedin & Abel, 2001)

$$c_{\rm red} = \frac{c}{1000} \quad \blacktriangleright \quad \Delta t_{\rm RT} \sim \frac{\Delta x}{c_{\rm red}} \sim \Delta t_{\rm HD}$$
$$\Rightarrow \text{Only ~2X runtime increase, compared to pure hydrodynamics}$$

Not quite as bad as it sounds: The dynamic speed in RHD simulations is that of *ionisation fronts*, not *c*. We just want to get the front correct...

#### **Radiation-hydrodynamics with RAMSES-RT**

The full implementation of RHD in RAMSES-RT is described in Rosdahl et al. (2013) and Rosdahl & Teyssier (2015).

The RHD code is public and already well established in the community (20 publications, including the small volume reionisation simulations previously shown)

The important thing is that it is now possible to do radiationhydrodynamics on galaxy scales with an unlimited number of radiation sources.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### The variable speed of light approximation

The main limitation for performing large-scale reionisation simulations was that reionisation of cosmological voids happens 'close' to the (real) speed of light.

We recently overcame this problem with a variable speed of light approximation, where c is slow in dense gas but speeds up in the diffuse IGM (see Katz et al, 2017).

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

Harley Katz

This makes it possible, for the first time, to fill the gap and perform large-scale reionsiation simulations that resolve individual galaxies. The Sphinx project: simulating reionsiation and galaxy formation over the first billion years

## **Two classes of reionisation simulations**

Large volume with unresolved galaxies

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

Tiny volume with one or a few well resolved galaxies

Representative volume on nearly homogeneous scale and statistical samples of (massive) halos.

But galaxies are unresolved. fest is a free parameter.

Low mass halos are not captured.

Good for the large-scale process, clustering, patchiness.

Not so good for understanding the (unresolved) sources of ionisation.

Production of ionising radiation and  $f_{esc}$  resolved.

But the large scale reionisation process is not captured (nor the actual contribution from individual sources).

# The Sphinx simulations in context

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Computing resources**

I applied for PRACE computing time last year and received 13.6 million cpu-hours to perform the Sphinx simulations on the SuperMUC supercomputer in Munich.

The main simulations run on 5600 cores and take about 3 million cpuhours each

ARTNERSHIP FOR ADVANCED COMPUTING IN EUROPE

# **Project goals**

- Understand the process and sources of reionisation
- Understand how patchy reionisation and metal enrichment suppresses or enhances the growth of satellite galaxies
- Model observational Lyman-alpha signatures produced by the various stages and environments during reionisation
- Predict luminosity function and galaxy distribution at extreme redshift for the JWST era

•Obtain statistical understanding about UV escape from the ISM (connection to feedback, halo mass)

• First: What do binary stars have to do with reionisation?

### SED models Spectral Energy Distributions for stellar populations

# **Binary Stars Can Provide the "Missing Photons" Needed for Reionization**

Xiangcheng Ma,<sup>1</sup>\* Philip F. Hopkins,<sup>1</sup> Daniel Kasen,<sup>2,3</sup> Eliot Quataert,<sup>2</sup> Claude-André Faucher-Giguère,<sup>4</sup> Dušan Kereš<sup>5</sup> Norman Murray<sup>6</sup><sup>†</sup> and Allison Strom<sup>7</sup>

- Post-processing pure-hydro zoom simulations, Ma et al. predict 4-10 times boosted fesc (escape of ionising radiation) with a binary population SED
- The reason: longer and stronger radiation due to mass transfer and mergers in binary systems

# SED models Spectral Energy Distributions for stellar populations

- BC03 = Single stellar population model from Bruzual & Charlot (2003)
- BPASS = Binary Population and Spectral Syntesis from Eldridge et al.
- →SPHINX: using full RHD cosmological simulations, what does BPASS do for the reionsiation history?

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **Setup of the Sphinx simulations**

#### **Sphinx simulations**

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

5 cMpc box with high mass resolution 10 cMpc box with lower <u>mass</u> resolution (but same physical resolution)

...plus many tiny 1.25-2.5 cMpc boxes for exploration and calibration

# **SPHINX** setup

- **Physical resolution** max 10 pc, required to capture the escape of ionising radiation from galaxies (Kimm et al, 2017).
- DM mass resolution of 3×10<sup>5</sup> (8 times less in 5 Mpc box).
   10<sup>8</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> halo has 300 (2,500) particles ≫ all potential sources resolved.
- Stellar particle resolution of  $10^3 M_{\odot}$  (particle = a stellar population)
- Bursty turbulence-dependent star formation (Devriendt et al, in prep)
- SN explosions modelled with momentum kicks (Kimm et al., 2015)
  - We calibrate SN rates to reproduce a sensible SF history (four times boosted SN rate derived from Kroupa initial mass function)
- No calibration on unresolved fesc (i.e. we simply inject the SED luminosity)
- We run with binary and single star SEDs

# **Sphinx simulations**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### results

#### Full 10 cMpc box, binary SED:

 $10^{-6}10^{-5}10^{-4}10^{-3}10^{-2}10^{-1}10^{0}10^{1}10^{2}10^{3}$ 

 $n_{
m H}$  [cm $^{-3}$ ]

100 kpc

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

z=9.75

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $10^{-12}10^{-8}10^{-6}10^{-4}10^{-2}10^{0}10^{2}10^{4}10^{6}10^{8}10^{10}$ 

 $F [cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$ 

#### 10 cMpc box, binary SED, a closer look

 $10^{-6}10^{-5}10^{-4}10^{-3}10^{-2}10^{-1}10^{0}10^{1}10^{2}10^{3}$ 

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $10^{-1}$   $10^{-8}$   $10^{-6}$   $10^{-4}$   $10^{-2}$   $10^{0}$   $10^{2}$   $10^{4}$   $10^{6}$   $10^{8}$   $10^{10}$ 

 $F[cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$ 

27 kpc

z=6.14

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Stellar mass to halo mass

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Luminosity function**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

41

#### Reionisation history binary vs single SEDs

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

# The interplay of feedback and fesc

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

## The interplay of feedback and fesc

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### The need for SN calibration

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

# The need for SN calibration

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Reionisation history with un-calibrated SN feedback

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Radiation feedback**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Effect of more IGM photons with binary populations

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

## What are the sources of reionisation

Working on that, but first hypothesis is intermediate mass halos, since boxes of different sizes produce very similar reionisation histories

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Summary and future**

- The Sphinx simulations are the first cosmological RHD simulations of full reionisation that resolve the ISM of galaxies
- Stay tuned for pilot paper:
  - Stellar populations with binary systems really speed up reionsiation!
- More papers to follow:
  - Lyman-alpha signatures of simulated galaxies
  - Which galaxies contribute to reionisation
  - Suppression of galaxy growth in ionisation bubbles
  - Metal-enrichment of the inter-galactic medium
- Then
  - Larger boxes: more and more massive galaxies

#### Resolution convergence reionisation history

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Resolution convergence reionisation history

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Resolution convergence reionisation history

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Resolution convergence reionisation history redshift

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)